



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

fh

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/068,967	02/06/2002	Chris Bollerud	1001996-1	2370

7590 04/04/2006

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY
Intellectual Property Administration
P.O. Box 272400
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400

EXAMINER

HOSSAIN, TANIM M

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2145

DATE MAILED: 04/04/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/068,967	BOLLERUD, CHRIS
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Tanim Hossain	2145

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 January 2006.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Challenger et al (U.S. 2005/0028080).

As per claim 1, Challenger teaches a computerized content management system comprising: a receiving queue for receiving content (paragraph 0009); a content staging unit, coupled to the receiving queue, and including an operating system to validate the content for format consistency and verify its accuracy and process the content from a first format to a second format defined by the content management system (paragraphs 0008, 0037, 0038, 0044, 0045); and a content storage unit, coupled to the content staging unit, and including an operating system to receive the validated and verified content for us by an application utilized within a computerized content management system (paragraphs 0008, 0010).

As per claim 2, Challenger further teaches that the content staging unit, while validating the content, further checks for description file information and provides such description file information, if missing (0044, 0045).

As per claim 3, Challenger further teaches that the content staging unit provides identity of the description file after checking (0044, 0045).

As per claim 4, Challenger further teaches that the content includes a content item having a search hierarchy and the staging unit cleanses the content item to ensure the content item and its search hierarchy are reliably linked (0024 – 0029).

As per claim 5, Challenger further teaches that the content staging unit determines the search hierarchy of the content item and assigns the content item to the identified hierarchy (0044, 0045).

As per claim 6, Challenger further teaches that the content staging unit checks whether meta-data associated with the content is consistent with a previously defined format for the system (0044, 0045).

As per claim 7, Challenger further teaches comprising a content error zone, coupled to the content staging unit, to receive any content item failing validation (0044, 0045).

As per claim 8, Challenger further teaches that the content staging unit notifies the content provider if the content failed validation (0044, 0045).

As per claim 9, Challenger further teaches that the content staging unit maintains a prior valid version of the content for access by the user should the content fail validation (0036, 0037).

As per claim 10, Challenger teaches a method of controlling content accessed by an end user within a shared content environment, the method comprising: receiving at least one content item from a content provider (0008); checking for description file information (0044); backfilling information within the description file if missing (0045); determining if the content item is valid (0044, 0045); copying the content item with an associated description file within an archive

(0010); importing the description file to a content holding database (0044, 0045); and sending valid content to a holding zone (0036, 0037).

As per claim 11, Challenger teaches a method of providing error control of content accessed by an end user within a shared content environment, the method comprising: receiving at least one content item from a content provider with the intent of making available the content item to an end user (0044, 0045); validating the content item is error-free (0044, 0045); and making the valid content item available to the content provider for access to the end-user (0044, 0045).

As per claim 12, Challenger further teaches that the validating step comprises cleansing the content item to ensure the content item and its search hierarchy are reliably linked (0044, 0045).

As per claim 13, Challenger further teaches that the validating step comprises archiving the content item (0010).

As per claim 14, Challenger further teaches that that validating step comprises: determining the hierarchy of the content item; and assigning the content item to the identified hierarchy (0044, 0045).

As per claim 15, Challenger further teaches that the validating step comprises checking meta-data associated with the content item is consistent with a previously defined format for the system (0044, 0045).

As per claim 16, Challenger further teaches moving the content item to an error zone upon lack of validation (0044, 0045).

As per claim 17, Challenger further teaches notifying the content provider that the content item failed validation (0044, 0045).

As per claim 18, Challenger further teaches maintaining a prior valid version of the content item for access by the user should the content item fail validation (0044, 0045).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tanim Hossain whose telephone number is 571/272-3881. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am - 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Cardone can be reached on 571/272-3933. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tanim Hossain
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2145


JASON CARDONE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER